

Effective GCC/Clang optimizations for Embedded systems

Khem Raj



Agenda

- Introduction
- Tools
- Compiler Optimization Switches
- Data types
- Variables and Functions
- Optimization Tips
- Summary



Tools

- Tools
 - Know your compiler toolchain
 - GCC based, Clang bases, Other Vendors ...
 - Read through compiler has to offer
 - Each one has few difference that could matter



Tools

- Understand the memory layout
 - Explicit Linker scripts
 - Common in bare-metal applications
 - Default linker scripts
 - Commonly used in hosted applications



Tools

- Linker map files (-WI,-Map,mymap.map)
- Objdump Disassemble Objects
- Size Elf size dumper
- Readelf Display Content of ELF files
- Nm ELF symbol lister
- Strip Remove Symbols and debug info
- More ...



Optimization Options

- O<n> Switches
 - 00
 - -No optimizations
 - O/O1
 - Somewhere between -O0 and -O2
 - O2
 - Moderate level of optimization which enables most optimizations
 - Os
 - Like -O2 with extra optimizations to reduce code size
 - Og
 - Like -O1, better debuggability
 - Oz
 - Like -Os (and thus -O2), but reduces code size further.
 - O3
 - Like -O2, except that it enables optimizations that take longer to perform or that may generate larger code (in an attempt to make the program run faster
 - Ofast
 - Like O3 with more aggressive optimization (may violate standards compliance)



Optimization Options

- GCC
 - https://gcc.gnu.org/onlinedocs/gcc/Optimize-Options.html
- Clang doesn't have such a page



Optimization Options

- Security
 - fstack-protector-strong
 - -D_FORTIFY_SOURCE=2
 - Wformat Wformat-security
 - -Werror=format-security



Compiler Optimizations

Does Compiler support -O4?



Data Types

- Know processor and word-size
 - Use data-types representable in processor word size
 - Smaller datatypes
 - Code size increase
 - Larger datatypes
 - Might degrade performance
 - Also depends on processing architecture
 - X86 might work fine, but ARM may exhibit above or vice-versa



Data Types

- Delegate to compiler
 - C99 provides
 - Fixed width uint*_t
 - Minimum width uint_least*_t
 - Fastest width uint_fast*_t
 - Portable datatypes
 - uint<size>_t



Variables and Functions

- Using "const"
 - is a big hint to compiler
 - Immutable data
 - Documentation
 - Better diagnostics from compiler
 - Better optimization opportunity



Variables and Functions

- Function Parameter
 - Know the ABI and calling convention
 - Depends on processor architecture
 - ARM
 - 4 registers available for parameter passing
 - -mfloat-abi
 - Hard
 - Soft
 - Softvfp
 - Know alignment
 - Set parameters sequence such that no padding is needed



Variables and Functions

- Avoid global and static data in loops
- Use volatile when really needed
- Avoid function calls in loops



Variables and functions

- Compiler attributes
 - Clang
 - https://clang.llvm.org/docs/AttributeReference.html
 - GCC
 - https://gcc.gnu.org/onlinedocs/gcc/Function-Attributes.html
 - https://gcc.gnu.org/onlinedocs/gcc/Variable-Attributes.html#Variable-Attributes



- Create baselines
 - Accounts for Law of diminishing returns
 - Set an End goal
- You are as good as your tools
 - Find good measurement tools
 - Augment compilation with other tools
- Dare to Experiment
 - Dig deeper into generated code



- Consider Portability
 - Follow ISO C standards and demand it from compiler (–std=c99)
 - Its easy to give-up portability under stress
 - Use predefined compiler preprocessor macros
 - https://sourceforge.net/p/predef/wiki/Compilers/

#pragma GCC optimize ("unroll-loops")



Which one is better?

```
x = x ? 0:10
```

```
if (x) {
   x = 0;
} else {
   x = 10;
}
```



Optimization Tips - Stack

- Know default stack size Its not unlimited
- Local variables e.g. Large arrays
- Take a hard look at recursive functions
- End-call optimization

```
int foo()
{
    ...
    if (..)
        return bar();
    else
        return 0;
}
```



- Put most likely code in hotpath
 - Cascade of if-then-else
 - Look for converting simple conditions to switch-case
- Help tail recursion Elimination
 - Return value of recursive call without modifications



```
int factorial(int x)
   if (x == 0) {
    return 1;
   } else {
    return x * factorial(x - 1);
                                           Return value is processed
int factorial(int x, int f)
 if (x == 0) {
   return f;
 } else {
   return factorial(x - 1, f * n);
                                           Return value is not processed
```



Summary

Help the compiler and it will help you



Summary

- Compiler has to be conservative
 - It wont apply an optimization if its not sure
 - Pointer aliasing
 - Do-while is better than for-loops
 - Loop termination test can be optimized out
 - Use compiler provided annotations
 - Function and variable attributes
 - Pragmas
 - Use intrinsic where possible



Summary

Recommendations

- Avoid "Release" and "Debug" modes
 - Uniform optimization across production and development saves a lot of time
- Know your system
 - Processor architecture, bus width, DRAM, Flash, clock speeds etc.
- Profile before optimize
- Delegate to tools as much as possible
 - Don't make them do things they can't do well
- Avoid inline assembly
- Make portability as priority





Thank you



