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Why? Where? When? What?

RATIONALE
- BACKGROUND
- LICENSING
- MAINTAINABILITY / COMPLEXITY
- MINIMAL BUT EXTENSIBLE
- SCOPE
- THINK INSIDE THE BOX
- SHORT TERM GOALS
How?

BOOTSTRAP
- LINARO GCC PATCH
- REMOVE ANDROID CRUFT
- ADD A NEW ELIBC IN GENTOO
- ./CONFIGURE; MAKE SENSE
- CROSSDEV WAY OR THE HIGHWAY
- EMERGE WORLD
- WHAT NEXT?
Bionic Larry...
Bionic Larry...

Grazing on 1e-9% of the embedded market since 2010!
Bionic Larry... do **not** try and milk him

These are **not** udders
RATIONALE - HISTORY

- Originally, I wanted to do something to help Google while they were in the middle of the Oracle / Java legal dispute
- I actually wrote Google with my ideas...
- they interviewed me for a couple of positions...
- but otherwise didn't care :-(
  ... talk to me after the presentation for some tidbits
RATIONALE - LICENSING

- **In spite** of the GPLv3 exclusion of “system libraries” from the linking clauses, companies are still terrified to incorporate GPL software into their embedded products
  - afraid of being forced to open their codebase
    - poorly written / insecure code vetted by 3rd parties
    - leaking intellectual property
RATIONALE - LICENSING

- How does a company retain IP in a predominantly open-source / GPL universe?
  - static / shared linking constitute derived works in many opinions
  - most shared library code is not explicitly LGPL
  - zero to practically zero static libraries are LGPL
RATIONALE - LICENSING

- lease embedded devices to customers and charge for usage / data
  - no change of ownership / no source sharing req
  - limited revenue model
  - questionable circumvention of software license
RATIONALE - LICENSING

- Take chances with FLOSS licenses or reinvent the wheel
  - potentially a lot of extra implementation work
  - limited domain expertise
  - possible license that could change over time
  - Could require fork and back-porting new patches
  - delaying the inevitable?
RATIONALE - LICENSING

- base design around **newlib**
  - BSD licenced libc
  - retain userspace IP, linking to newlib
  - distribute source for the Linux kernel
  - optimized? ... not really
RATIONALE - LICENSING

- I gathered this was fairly common industry opinion after consulting for various companies in industrial radio, embedded imaging, shipping / receiving, automated asset management, etc

BUT...
Why? Where? When? What?

RATIONALE - LICENSING

- the Linux kernel is awesome
  - the GPLv2 license is working well for it
  - no need to “fix” what isn't broken
RATIONALE - LICENSING

- There *might* even be some kernel-envy in the rest of the embedded world!
- Linux supports more arch's, chips, platforms, boards than any other OS kernel on the planet!
- It's easy to get ported, and Linux is what clients want driving their embedded platforms

LUCKILY...
RATIONALE - LICENSING

• The Linux kernelspace / userspace interface is **BINARY**

• This is what allows arbitrarily licensed userspace software to run on top of the GPLv2 Linux kernel

• Kernel interaction is not “linking” (at runtime or compile-time), it's setting up arguments on the stack and jumping!

• Corollary: libc builds own syscalls using **NUMBERS**

SOOOO...
RATIONALE - LICENSING

- Use a BSD-licensed C library and other system libraries on top of the Linux kernel
RATIONAL - LICENSING

- Use a BSD-licensed C library and other system libraries on top of the Linux kernel
  » USE BIONIC
Why? Where? When? What?

RATIONALE – MAINTAINABILITY / COMPLEXITY

• already hacked the same Bionic C runtime for a couple of different clients a couple of different times
  - Just Worked™
  - was very slim, but optimized where it counts
  - it didn't take a lot of effort
  - (to me the effort part was important!)
RATIONALE – MAINTAINABILITY / COMPLEXITY

- The Bionic C library is fairly well organized..
  - is documented / commented where merited
  - is kept simple (intentionally!)
  - has no cryptic autotools or (many) scripts for building
  - is easily extensible
  - compiles really quickly!
RATIONALE – MAINTAINABILITY / COMPLEXITY

● Why reinvent the wheel every time?
  – Bionic is BSD licensed, and there was no client-specific IP in it, that I had added.
  – Allow others to benefit from its usage
  – And *contribute back*
● No need to reinvent distro's, package managers, etc
RATIONALE – MAINTAINABILITY / COMPLEXITY

- I was familiar with Gentoo .ebuild syntax
- Same code could easily be built & packaged for
  - Ångström / OpenEmbedded / OpenWRT (.ipk)
  - Debian / Ubuntu (.deb)
  - Redhat (.rpm)
Why? Where? When? What?

RATIONALE - MINIMAL BUT EXTENSIBLE

- Bionic is small (e.g. for libc.so)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>C Library</th>
<th>Size (bytes)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>glibc</td>
<td>1209672</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>uClibc</td>
<td>327023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bionic</td>
<td>290912</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Why? Where? When? What?

RATIONALE - MINIMAL BUT EXTENSIBLE

Adding syscalls?
**RATIONALE - MINIMAL BUT EXTENSIBLE**

- Adding syscalls? - **SUPER EASY!** Just add them to `libc/SYSCALLS.TXT`!
- an assembly wrapper is created automagically by `libc/tools/gensyscalls.py`
- e.g. `int pivot_root(const char *, const char *)` 117,118,117
- `[return type] [syscall name]([parameters]) [arm,x86,mips]`
Why? Where? When? What?

RATIONALE - MINIMAL BUT EXTENSIBLE

Added syscalls:
Why? Where? When? What?

RATIONALE - MINIMAL BUT EXTENSIBLE

- Missing an ioctl / syscall / struct declaration?
Why? Where? When? What?

RATIONALE - MINIMAL BUT EXTENSIBLE

- Missing an ioctl / syscall / struct declaration?
- ALSO SUPER EASY!
- preprocess the raw header information with libc/tools/clean_header.py
- just remember: no inline functions, no macros, no comments!
Why? Where? When? What?

RATIONALE - MINIMAL BUT EXTENSIBLE

Added headers
Why? Where? When? What?

RATIONALE - MINIMAL BUT EXTENSIBLE

- Adding libc functions?
Why? Where? When? What?

RATIONALE - MINIMAL BUT EXTENSIBLE

- Adding libc functions? - **SUPER EASY**!
  - create a test rig outside of libc
  - compile your test rig
  - test your libc function
  - when testing done, add to `${FILESDIR}` as a patch!
  - files/${PV}/NNNN-yay-i-implemented-a-libcfunc.patch
Why? Where? When? What?

RATIONALE - MINIMAL BUT EXTENSIBLE

Added libc functions
RATIONALE - SCOPE

- Is Gentoo-Bionic Gentoo-specific?
  - NO!
  - Gentoo was used as the initial vehicle for compiling the Bionic C library and toolchain
  - Bionic / toolchain could be built for any Linux distro
  - build system changes for said distros would be minimal, once autoconf integration is done
RATIONALE - SCOPE

- Also not limited to usage on existing distributions
- Rather, it should serve as a starting point, inspiring new and different distributions
Why? Where? When? What?

RATIONALE - SCOPE

- Gentoo just has a *really* great cross-compiler infrastructure and build system (Portage)
  - chost=armv7a-neon-linux-bioniceabi
  - chost=i686-pc-linux-bionic
  - crossdev --target ${chost}
  - ${chost}-emerge bash
    - all (runtime / build) dependencies included
Why? Where? When? What?

RATIONALE - THINK INSIDE THE BOX

• Recently switched to OS X from Linux for my workstation (queue Booing from crowd)
• The UI (partially) did it for me, but I also liked **not** feeling the need to **fix** things!
• I liked the minimalistic “feel” to the libc, and how things basically always Just Worked™
RATIONALE - THINK INSIDE THE BOX

- The graphics stack intrigued me
- Liked the idea of using some (certain) proprietary software packages
- Why can't we have one (or many) “proprietary” Linux variants?
- ... but Mac OS X kind of sucks under the hood
- supported & default FS (global lock?)
RATIONALE - (SHORT TERM) GOALS

• Layman(8) overlay
• Upstream (basic) inclusion in Portage
• Downloadable (tiny) VM images
  – qemu, VMWare, VirtualBox
  – arm (qemu), x86 for VMWare / VirtualBox
It might not seem like he has a lot happening upstairs

But when he has an idea, it's a good one!
BOOTSTRAP - LINARO GCC PATCH

- Alexandre Sack's gcc-4.6 patch
  - default linker specs with -mandroid
  - crt*.o for linking
  - /system/bin/linker
  - toolchain was no longer tied to android.com
How?

BOOTSTRAP - LINARO GCC PATCH

• why use the /system prefix?
• why use /system/bin/linker?
• not just arm!
• preserve that for -mandroid
• remove the Android cruft for -mbionic
• try to behave like a normal toolchain!
BOOTSTRAP - REMOVE ANDROID CRUFT

• for Bionic to behave like a normal libc
  - /etc/passwd, /etc/group, /etc/resolv.conf, ...
• Android went through system properties and hard-coded UID's and GID's
How?

BOOTSTRAP - ADD A NEW LIBC

- Portage changes:
  - portage/profiles/desc/elibc.desc
  - portage/profiles/embedded/bionic/*
How?

BOOTSTRAP - ./CONFIGURE; MAKE SENSE

- gnuconfig changes:
  - config.sub
  - config.guess
How?

BOOTSTRAP - CROSSDEV WAY OR THE HIGHWAY

- crossdev changes:
  - LPKG=bionic; KPKG=bionic-kernel-headers
  - include/site/*bionic* (basically a copy of *uclibc*)
  - above files necessary for autoconf functionality
- crosscompile_opts_headers-only
- nocxx / cxx
BOOTSTRAP - EMERGE WORLD!

- Although there is/are a/many rigid specifications of what must be in a libc.. thanks to GNU, there is a monotonically increasing list of “expected” features as well.
- emerge busybox, emerge bash, emerge jamvm...
- Keep testing, finding, and reporting bugs, adding features as required
How?

- **BOOTSTRAP - EMERGE WORLD!**
- Compiled packages (so far)
How?

BOOTSTRAP - WHAT NEXT?

- HELP WANTED!
  - pthread_cancel
  - glibc-like ld.so behaviour
  - optional locale
  - self-hosting gcc (clang?)
  - [func]_r (thread-safe versions of functions)
  - more crypt algos
How?

BOOTSTRAP - WHAT NEXT?

• Beyond bootstrap
  - Talk to me after the presentation
  - I could go on... seriously!
mäk – A SHORTER / FASTER MAK

- separately installable variant of the Android build system
  - non-C language support to be included via extension
- like Automake's .am files, mäk's .mk files are declarative
- export MAK_ROOT=/usr/share/mak
- ./configure; make -jN; make -jN install
- **non-recursive** replacement for Automake = FAST
Gentoo-Bionic
We can Rebuild him. Better. Stronger. Faster.
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