

Security Hardening with Yocto Project

Scott Murray, Konsulko Group

Yocto Project Virtual Summit Europe, October 29-30, 2020

Agenda

- Security Hardening?
- Basic hardening with OE/YP
- meta-security
- meta-selinux
- meta-sca
- Updater layers

About me

- Linux user/developer since 1996
- Embedded Linux developer since 2000
- Principal Software Engineer at Konsulko Group
 - Services company specializing in Embedded Linux and Open Source Software
 - Hardware/software build, design, development, and training services.
 - Based in San Jose, CA with an engineering presence worldwide
 - https://konsulko.com

Caveats

- I do not consider myself a security expert
- This presentation was spurred by an interest in seeing what is available in the OE/YP ecosystem, so it is highlevel and is not exhaustive
- Your security requirements will be dependent on product requirements and usecases, intent is to showcase some of the available tools/options
- Update of previous presentation from YP DevDay 2020

Security Hardening?

- Securing a system by reducing its attack surface
- Remove unnecessary software/services, users
- Control network access, e.g. firewall
- Intrusion detection
- Remove/improve default passwords/users
- Updates to remove vulnerabilities
- etc.

Why?

- Everything is becoming Internet connected
 - Internet of Things (IoTs)
- Attackers are becoming more aware of Linux devices
 - Scans of all of IPv4 are a thing, e.g. shodan.io
 - Customers cannot be relied upon to not attach devices directly to the Internet
 - uPnP may make device services visible unexpectedly
- Attacks may not be direct
 - Using device (mis)behavior as part of a DDoS attack

OWASP IoT Top Ten Vulnerabilities

- Open Web Application Security Project[®] (<u>owasp.org</u>)
- Internet of Things working group Top Ten vulnerabilities have been surveyed and published every few years
- Top Ten 2018 at:
 - <u>https://owasp.org/www-pdf-archive/OWASP-IoT-Top-10-</u> 2018-final.pdf
- Also do a web application Top Ten that may be useful for evaluation of web-based interfaces

OWASP IoT Top Ten Vulnerabilities (2018)

- **1**. Weak, Guessable, or Hardcoded Passwords
- 2. Insecure Network Services
- **3.** Insecure Ecosystem Interfaces
- 4. Lack of Secure Update Mechanism
- 5. Use of Insecure or Outdated Components
- 6. Insufficient Privacy Protection
- 7. Insecure Data Transfer and Storage
- 8. Lack of Device Management
- 9. Insecure Default Settings

10. Lack of Physical Hardening

OE/YP Hardening?

- Read the Fine Manual
 - <u>https://www.yoctoproject.org/docs/3.1.3/dev-</u> manual/dev-manual.html#making-images-more-secure
- Provides some useful high-level guidelines
- Has some more detailed guidance around disabling debug features, adding users and passwords, and security related compile flags
- Mentions meta-security and meta-selinux
- Useful, but mostly a starting point

Expanding on the FM

- Check image manifest(s) for surprises
- oe-pkgdata-util useful for finding what package files come from
- Check/Prune DISTRO_FEATURES
 - If using or basing off of poky, note it includes a lot of things you may not want (e.g. NFS)
- Note that poky includes "debug-tweaks" in IMAGE_FEATURES by default
 - No root password useful for early testing, but should be removed or explicitly added only to debug/dev builds

Expanding on the FM (2)

- Review kernel configuration
 - Security options, but also things like hardware RNG, architecture specific address space randomization
 - Some more ideas

in https://www.whonix.org/wiki/Hardened-kernel

- Make sure CONFIG_DEVMEM is disabled if at all possible
 - Typically used to access device registers as a workaround
 - Somewhat better now with default values of STRICT_DEVMEM and IO_STRICT_DEVMEM, but using/fixing drivers and disabling is safer

Expanding on the FM (3)

- It's common for BSP layers to not enable desired features...
 - e.g. cgroup, namespace, netfilter, BPF support
 - These become more visible when using systemd or container runtimes
- ...and to enable a lot of things you do not need
 - Usually err on the side of enabling a lot of driver subsystems and drivers
 - May enable DEBUG options that are problematic

Expanding on the FM (4)

- User and password management beyond the probably undesirable baking in of fixed root/admin passwords is going to take local development
 - Tooling/examples for schemes like generating devicespecific passwords would probably be helpful (pointers welcome!)
- passwdqc library and PAM module for password strength checking in meta-oe may be useful for vetting user provided passwords

Expanding on the FM (5)

• The "read-only-rootfs" image feature is worth considering

- Increase difficulty for attackers
- Secondary benefit of also being useful for implementing reset to factory default schemes
- May require development effort
 - Locally developed applications, or packages from outside oe-core may not work out of the box
 - Combining with MAC schemes such as SELinux will require some work (as labelling is typically done on boot)

Expanding on the FM (6)

- cve-check class can be used to check packages or images for known CVEs
- See meta/classes/cve-check.bbclass
- Uses NVD CVE database, results are data dependent and may not be complete
- You will likely need to process the output if using it as input for your own maintenance or LTS
 - There are CVEs for some packages that are configuration dependent, so need to evaluate if they can be ignored
- SRTool (<u>https://wiki.yoctoproject.org/wiki/SRTool_User_Page</u>) may be useful if you need to set up an issue tracker

meta-security

- Bit of a Swiss Army knife or toolbox layer/repo
- Maintained by Armin Kuster
- Recipes for packages related to:
 - Support Libraries
 - Security compliance
 - Secure boot
 - Integrity/Attestation
 - Intrusion detection
 - Runtime security scanners
 - Mandatory Access Control (MAC)
- docs/overview.txt describes some packages
- meta-hardening layer added for 3.2 / gatesgarth

meta-security – Support Libraries

- libseccomp (<u>https://github.com/seccomp/libseccomp</u>)
 - Provides access to the kernel's syscall filtering mechanism
 - Highly recommended for enabling better sandboxing with systemd and container runtimes
 - Need to add "seccomp" to PACKAGECONFIG for e.g. systemd, runc, etc.
- google-authenticator-libpam
 - PAM module for MFA with Google authenticator
- libdhash, libmhash, libmspack
 - Potentially useful hashing and compression libraries

meta-security – Compliance

- Recipes in meta-security-compliance layer
- Lynis (<u>https://cisofy.com/lynis</u>) runtime system auditor
- OpenSCAP (<u>https://www.open-scap.org</u>)
 - Implementation of Security Content Automation Protocol
 - In simple terms, a specification of standardized naming for interaction with tools and databases
 - oscap and oscap-daemon tools for checking NIST or other databases for vulnerabilities
- These seem likely to be overkill in a lot of embedded usecases
 - But perhaps still useful in a QA role

meta-security – Secure Boot/Integrity

- Trusted Platform Module (TPM) recipes in meta-tpm
 - https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Trusted_Platform_Module
- TPM 1.x and TPM 2.0 tools
- Kernel configuration for linux-yocto driven by "tpm" and "tpm2" MACHINE_FEATURES
- Sample images that use TPM or TPM2
- Provides a starting point
 - Using for runtime integrity checking, key storage, etc., will require custom development

meta-security – Secure Boot/Integrity (2)

- Support for secure boot on ARM SoCs is typically vendor specific and is hopefully available in the vendor BSP layer
- The commonly used trusted firmware

 (https://www.trustedfirmware.org) component (TF-A) has
 tended to have recipes for forked versions in vendor BSP
 layers, but rationalization on a recipe in the new meta-arm
 layer is in progress
- Setting up things like key storage and image encryption will typically take custom integration

meta-security – Secure Boot/Integrity (3)

- Integrity Measurement Architecture (IMA) and Extended Verification Module (EVM) recipes in meta-integrity
 - https://sourceforge.net/p/linux-ima/wiki/Home/
 - Extends secure ("measured") boot up into userspace
 - Appraisal support for doing runtime remote attestation
 - Can be unwieldy to implement in practice
- Tool and sample image recipes
- See meta-integrity layer in <u>https://github.com/jiazhang0/meta-</u> secure-core for an alternate implemententation

meta-security – Secure Boot/Integrity (4)

- Support for dm-verity somewhat recently added
- Integrity measurement at block device block level
 - Simpler to implement than the file-oriented approach of IMA
- Originally developed for Android
 - https://source.android.com/security/verifiedboot/dm-verity
- Class for generating image with hash information
- Sample configuration for building and testing on BeagleBone Black
- Integration with platform secure boot mechanism requires development

meta-security – Intrusion Detection

- Samhain
 - <u>http://www.la-samhna.de/samhain</u>
 - Highly configurable filesystem scanning, rootkit detection, etc.
- Suricata
 - <u>https://suricata-ids.org</u>
 - Network intrustion detection via traffic inspection
- Tripwire
 - <u>https://github.com/Tripwire/tripwire-open-source</u>
 - Filesystem scanning
 - Widely used due to long history (created in 2000)

meta-security – Runtime Scanners

- Collection of scanners that are more configuration checking than intrusion detectors
- buck-security
 - Collection of configuration and filesystem checks
 - Project seems dead since 2013, Lynis is likely a better choice
- checksec, checksecurity
 - Simple configuration checkers, potentially more useful for QA than production use
- chkrootkit
 - Root kit detector, releases are somewhat sporadic so potential benefit would need to be evaluated

meta-security – Runtime Scanners (2)

- Bastille (<u>https://sourceforge.net/projects/bastille-linux</u>)
 - Hardening and reporting/auditing tool
 - Support is only for an informational reporting mode as opposed to the further ability to e.g. disable services on other distributions
 - Upstream development seems to have stopped in 2016, some evaluation would be required as to current usefulness...
 - Similarly to Lynis or OpenSCAP, some consideration required as to usefulness in a production image

meta-security – MAC

- Recipes for AppArmor, SMACK, and Tomoyo MAC systems
- SELinux support is in separate meta-selinux layer
- Application profiles for AppArmor in the default install are somewhat limited
 - Ubuntu or Debian may serve as a resource for other profiles
- Similarly, the default SMACK policies are probably insufficient and development will be required
 - SMACK policy development is simpler than SELinux, but the userbase is small at this point, so support may be harder to find
- Due to the larger userbases and active development SELinux or AppArmor are likely better choices for a new project

meta-security/meta-hardening

- Recent addition for 3.2 / gatesgarth
- Has recipe bbappends to tighten up default configuration, e.g.:
 - Default umask
 - sudo
 - SSH configuration
- Just provides a starting point and is a WIP
- You will want to review the configuration changes and perhaps add your own additional ones on top
- See meta-hardening/README

meta-selinux

- Recipes for SELinux MAC support
 - Tools, packagegroups, sample minimal images
- Maintained by WindRiver and Siemens developers
- Reference policy recipes for several types of policy setup (e.g. minimal, targeted, full multi-level)
- Note that ATM the default SELinux policy results in quite a few enforcement warnings in logs with e.g. core-image-selinux
 - May take a while, but intent is to work on this to improve auditability
- SELinux policy development and maintenance is involved...
 - ...and you will likely need to do some policy development, as the reference policy is unlikely to cover everything you want to use

Why consider SELinux?

- Typically considered too much effort for traditional embedded usecases, outside of commercially supported distros
- AppArmor and SMACK are considered easier to configure and use in a targeted fashion
- But...
 - Has become relied upon to improve container security
 - Docker/runc CVE-2019-5736 container escape blocked by SELinux
 - Long time usage by RHEL/Centos/Fedora make support perhaps the best of the MAC systems

meta-sca

- https://github.com/priv-kweihmann/meta-sca
- Collection of static analysis tools maintained by Konrad Weihmann
- Static analysis for C, C++, python, etc.
- Classes to enable per package or per image scanning (some limits depending on specific tools)
- Significant documentation
- Actively maintained

Updater layers

- There are several actively maintained(*) updater tools with layers
 - Will point out swupdate, Mender, RAUC, Aktualizer
 - There are others, e.g. meta-swupd, that have smaller userbases
- Rolling your own mechanism is possible with e.g. OSTree recipe
 - But the ones mentioned all have support for already existing server mechanisms, and some potential for turnkey hosting with a provider

Updater layers – meta-swupdate

- https://github.com/sbabic/meta-swupdate
- Integrates swupdate support
 - https://github.com/sbabic/swupdate
- Documentation at <u>http://sbabic.github.io/swupdate/swupdate.html</u>
- Some discussion at ELC 2020 in "Secure Boot and Over-the-Air Updates - That's Simple, No?" - Jan Kiszka, Siemens AG
 - <u>https://ossna2020.sched.com/event/c3Wx/secure-boot-</u> and-over-the-air-updates-thats-simple-no-jan-kiszkasiemens-ag

Updater layers – meta-mender

- https://github.com/mendersoftware/meta-mender
- Integrates Mender support
 - <u>https://mender.io</u>
 - Mender provide hosting, professional services, etc.
- Documentation at

https://docs.mender.io/artifacts/yocto-project/building

Updater layers – meta-rauc

- <u>https://github.com/rauc/meta-rauc</u>
- Integrates RAUC support
 - <u>https://rauc.io</u>
- Documentation at https://rauc.readthedocs.io/en/latest

Updater layers – meta-updater

- https://github.com/advancedtelematic/meta-updater
- Integrates OSTree update mechanism and aktualizer client
 - https://github.com/ostreedev/ostree
 - <u>https://github.com/advancedtelematic/aktualizr</u>
 - HERE provide hosting with their OTA Connect platform
 - But have indicated they're sunsetting it, EOL 2025
- Documentation at:
 - <u>https://ostree.readthedocs.io/en/latest</u>
 - aktualizer github page (see above)

Summary

- As mentioned at the start, a non-exhaustive survey
 - No discussion of network / firewall tools
 - Some things skipped in meta-security
- Let me know if I've missed something useful!
 - Or if a particular area warrants a focused follow up presentation
- Contact info:
 - <u>scott.murray@konsulko.com</u>
 - smurray on Freenode.net IRC (#oe, #yocto channels)