Process is mature
- Generic bindings rightfully get most attention
- Subsystem maintainers do a good job making sure new hardware specific bindings adhere to the generic bindings

Problems we face
- Not unlike existing drivers upstream, many existing bindings suffer from bitrot
  - Many existing bindings don’t get updated as generic bindings had matured and have specific required properties that should be reflected
  - A related issue is that the .dts implementations often miss required properties.
- Formatting is inconsistent both with existing and new bindings
  - References to generic bindings done in an ad hoc manner or not at all
- Terminology is inconsistent (e.g. should, must, shall)
Proposed Solutions

- Move generic bindings to a common directory for easier reference. This has been previously suggested by Rob Herring.
- Move to a rigid text markup template. Could be something like tagged fields as with MAINTAINERS or Markdown based but the important thing is that it enforces consistency in the format.
- Revive Stephen Warren’s old DT bindings guidelines document and update. Place in the bindings documentation tree with a complete checklist for maintainers and those fixing old bindings to update against. Should contain all formatting guidelines, compatible string guidelines including allowed wildcards, etc.
- Encourage maintainers to accept binding fixes to adhere to the formatting and guidelines document.
- DT bindings janitorial team. Build the canonical guidelines