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- Konsulko Group
  - Services company specializing in Embedded Linux and Open Source Software
  - Hardware/software build, design, development, and training services.
  - Based in San Jose, CA with an engineering presence worldwide
  - [https://konsulko.com](https://konsulko.com)
Agenda

- Quick overview of OpenEmbedded / Yocto Project
- Containers
- What can OE bring to the table?
- Example OE container build configurations
  - Full distribution and application containers
  - Nesting images (pre-installed application sandboxes)
Caveats

- I am not a container expert, and this presentation does not cover the mechanics of using the discussed container images in detail.
- Container technology is progressing rapidly, it’s entirely possible I’ve missed something of interest (Please let me know!)
- An intermediate level of OpenEmbedded / Yocto Project knowledge is assumed.
OpenEmbedded & The Yocto Project

- OpenEmbedded (OE) is a build system and associated metadata to build embedded Linux distributions.
- The Yocto Project (YP) is a collaboration project founded in 2010 to aid in the creation of custom Linux based systems for embedded products. It is a collaboration of many hardware and software vendors, and uses OpenEmbedded as its core technology. A reference distribution called “poky” (pock-EE) built with OE is provided by the Yocto Project to serve as a starting point for embedded developers.
Notable OE / YP Features

- Broad CPU architecture support
- Strong vendor support
- Highly customizable, layered configuration metadata
- Focus on constrained embedded devices, so support for small images
- Regular release schedule
- Integrated license and source publishing compliance tools
- Working towards full binary reproducibility
Containers

- Operating system level virtualization as opposed to virtual machines
- Linux implementations typically are based on namespaces and cgroups
  - LXC
  - Docker
  - runc
  - systemd-nspawn
- Newer Clear / Kata containers are based on lightweight VM technology
- Container images can be full Linux distribution installs, or small images containing a single application and its dependencies
Containers (continued)

- **Common use cases:**
  - Running an application that has incompatible dependencies from the host machine
  - Sandboxing an application to isolate it from the host machine
  - Implementing microservices where application containers are started based on demand

- **Typical container construction**
  - Start with a minimal Debian, Ubuntu, or Alpine Linux image
  - Add required packages
  - Potentially compile non-upstream available packages (e.g. via Dockerfile commands)
  - Prune container down by removing unneeded files
    - Small size is very desirable
    - Reduces security attack surface, maintenance, and migration time
Container Drawbacks?

● Reproducibility
  ○ Base containers changes may not be obvious, e.g. Docker labels may change
  ○ Package versions on Debian, Alpine, etc. changing
    ■ It’s not uncommon to see “apt-get update && apt-get upgrade -y”, etc. in Dockerfiles
    ■ Pinning package versions can break if the base distro doesn’t archive older versions
  ○ Even if automating with Dockerfile(s) or other scripting, effort required to ensure result is reproducible

● Transparency / Security
  ○ You have to trust the builders of the base container
  ○ Security is dependent on the providers of the base container, i.e. distribution update policies
  ○ Often quoted problem of library updates potentially affecting many containers
Container Drawbacks? (continued)

- **License compliance scheme**
  - Potentially can be pulled from package manager, but no particularly turn-key solutions

- **Customization**
  - Patching a package or tweaking its configuration flags requires manual or scripted rebuild
  - Building for an unsupported architecture requires delving into the distribution’s build process
So is OE / YP a solution?

- **Reproducibility**
  - Image builds can be straightforwardly reproduced using fixed metadata

- **Transparency / Security**
  - Entire build process is bootstrapped from scratch
  - Typically 18 months support per release versus 5 years for Debian stable, ~2 years for Alpine

- **License compliance scheme**
  - Image license manifests and license text archiving
  - Source archiving

- **Customization**
  - Layered metadata and build process allows adding almost any customization
  - Any architecture with a BSP layer can be targeted
So is OE / YP a solution? (continued)

● Package availability
  ○ Debian, Ubuntu several 10’s of K, Alpine ~5K
  ○ OE ~2300 in oe-core and meta-openembedded, many more in other layers
  ○ OE node.js and Python module availability is not as broad

● Ease of use
  ○ It’s possible, but quite involved to reproduce something like the apt-get, apk install user experience with an OE built package feed
  ○ Small, relatively fixed content images are going to be easier to handle

● Resources
  ○ OE is a new toolset to learn
  ○ Building images can require significant hardware resources
  ○ Long term maintenance may involve dedicating resources
OE / YP container support

- Container image type
  - Added in pyro / 2.3 release
  - \texttt{IMAGE_FSTYPES} = “container”
  - Produces a tar.bz2 with no kernel components or post-install scripts
  - Required \texttt{PREFERRED_PROVIDER_virtual/kernel} to be set to “dummy”

- meta-virtualization layer
  - Provides
    - LXC, runc, Docker (currently 18.03.0 in master/thud and sumo branches)
    - OCI image-tools
    - Kernel configuration fragments for linux-yocto
  - Currently no support for building OCI / Docker images during OE build
    - Difficult with Docker itself, since it needs its daemon running
    - Still investigating this myself, open to suggestions
OE / YP container support (continued)

- Togán Labs’ Oryx Linux
  - Commercially supported OE based distribution
  - Container support using runc on target
  - [https://www.toganlabs.com/oryx-linux/](https://www.toganlabs.com/oryx-linux/)
Examples

- **Build bootstrap container**
  - Contains the tools to run OE / YP builds, i.e. self-hosting
  - Lighter container version of build-appliance VM image

- **Alpine-like container image**
  - Attempt to match base contents and size

- **Application container image**
  - Typical microservice single application

- **Nested application sandbox**
  - A host image built with container tools and pre-loaded with application container(s)
Build Bootstrap Container Example
Quick and dirty with local.conf

MACHINE = "qemux86-64"
IMAGE_FSTYPES = "container"
PREFERRED_PROVIDER_virtual/kernel = "linux-dummy"
IMAGE_LINGUAS_append = " en-us"
CORE_IMAGE_EXTRA_INSTALL += "packagegroup-self-hosted-sdk packagegroup-self-hosted-extended"
Notes

- Resulting core-image-minimal for qemux86-64 is ~150 MB
- Builds some graphical packages that go unused
- Further tinkering required to prune out some things
- Lack of post-install scripts means volatile directories (/var/volatile/*, etc.) do not get created
  - Can run /etc/rcS.d/S37populate-volatile.sh
  - Fixable with ROOTFS_POSTPROCESS or bbappend to base-files and fsperms.txt tweaking
- User for building needs to be created / managed
- Access to build tree needs to be managed
  - Docker volume(s), mounts, etc.
Image definition: build-container.bb

SUMMARY = "A minimal bootstrap container image"

IMAGE_FSTYPES = "container"

inherit core-image

IMAGE_INSTALL = " \
    packagegroup-core-boot \
    packagegroup-self-hosted-sdk \
    packagegroup-self-hosted-extended \
    ${CORE_IMAGE_EXTRA_INSTALL} \
"

IMAGE_LINGUAS = "en-us"
IMAGE_TYPEDEP_container += "ext4"

# Workaround /var/volatile for now
ROOTFS_POSTPROCESS_COMMAND += "rootfs_fixup_var_volatile ; "

rootfs_fixup_var_volatile () {
    install -m 1777 -d ${IMAGE_ROOTFS}/${localstatedir}/volatile/tmp
    install -m 755 -d ${IMAGE_ROOTFS}/${localstatedir}/volatile/log
}

Convenience MACHINE definition: containerx86-64.conf

require conf/machine/qemux86-64.conf

PREFERRED_PROVIDER_virtual/kernel = "linux-dummy"

MACHINE_ESSENTIAL_EXTRA_RDEPENDS = ""
Alpine-like Container Example
Quick and dirty with local.conf

MACHINE = "qemu686-64"
IMAGE_FSTYPES = "container"
PREFERRED_PROVIDER_virtual/kernel = "linux-dummy"
TCLIBC = "musl"
Resulting image manifest

base-files qemux86_64 3.0.14
base-passwd core2_64 3.5.29
busybox core2_64 1.29.2
busybox-hwclock core2_64 1.29.2
busybox-syslog core2_64 1.29.2
busybox-udhcpc core2_64 1.29.2
eudev core2_64 3.2.5
init-ifupdown qemux86_64 1.0
initscripts core2_64 1.0
initscripts-functions core2_64 1.0
libblkid1 core2_64 2.32.1
libkmod2 core2_64 25+git0+aca4eeca103
libuuid1 core2_64 2.32.1
libz1 core2_64 1.2.11
modutils-initscripts core2_64 1.0
musl core2_64 1.1.20+git0+c50985d5c8
netbase core2_64 5.4
packagegroup-core-boot qemux86_64 1.0
sysvinit core2_64 2.88dsf
sysvinit-inittab qemux86_64 2.88dsf
sysvinit-pidof core2_64 2.88dsf
updatealternatives-opkg core2_64 0.3.6
update-rc.d noarch 0.8
v86d qemux86_64 0.1.10
Notes

● Resulting core-image-minimal for qemux86-64 is ~4.8 MB
  ○ ~8.5 MB with package management support via opkg
  ○ Almost 100 MB with package management support via rpm / dnf

● Further pruning is possible
  ○ Custom distro configuration
  ○ Set FORCE_RO_REMOVE to remove update-alternatives, etc. if not using package management
Example custom distro configuration: schooner.conf

require conf/distro/poky.conf

DISTRO = "schooner"
DISTRO_NAME = "Schooner"
DISTRO_VERSION = "1.0-\{DATE\}"
DISTRO_CODENAME = "master"
SDK_VENDOR = "-schoonersdk"

MAINTAINER = "Scott Murray <scott.murray@konsulko.com>"

TARGET_VENDOR = "-schooner"

TCLIBC = "musl"

DISTRO_FEATURES = "acl ipv4 ipv6 largefile xattr \{DISTRO_FEATURES_LIBC\}"

VIRTUAL-RUNTIME_dev_manager ?= ""
VIRTUAL-RUNTIME_login_manager ?= ""
VIRTUAL-RUNTIME_init_manager ?= ""
VIRTUAL-RUNTIME_initscripts ?= ""
VIRTUAL-RUNTIME_keymaps ?= ""
Application Container Example
SUMMARY = "A minimal container image"
LICENSE = "MIT"
LIC_FILES_CHKSUM = "file://${COREBASE}/meta/COPYING.MIT;md5=3da9cfbcb788c80a0384361b4de20420"

IMAGE_FSTYPES = "container"

inherit image

IMAGE_TYPEDEP_container += "ext4"

IMAGE_FEATURES = ""
IMAGE_LINGUAS = ""
NO_RECOMMENDATIONS = "1"

IMAGE_INSTALL = " base-files base-passwd netbase "

# Workaround /var/volatile for now
ROOTFS_POSTPROCESS_COMMAND += "rootfs_fixup_var_volatile ; "

rootfs_fixup_var_volatile () {
    install -m 1777 -d ${IMAGE_ROOTFS}/${localstatedir}/volatile/tmp
    install -m 755 -d ${IMAGE_ROOTFS}/${localstatedir}/volatile/log
}
lighttpd application image: app-container-image-lighttpd.bb

SUMMARY = "A lighttpd container image"
LICENSE = "MIT"
LIC_FILES_CHKSUM = "file://${COREBASE}/meta/COPYING.MIT;md5=3da9cfbcb788c80a0384361b4de20420"

require app-container-image.bb

# Note that busybox is required to satisfy /bin/sh requirement of lighttpd,
# and the access* modules need to be explicitly specified since RECOMMENDATIONS
# are disabled.
IMAGE_INSTALL += " \  
  busybox \  
  lighttpd \  
  lighttpd-module-access \  
  lighttpd-module-accesslog \  
"
Resulting image manifest

base-files qemux86_64 3.0.14
busybox core2_64 1.29.2
libattr1 core2_64 2.4.47
libcryptol1.1 core2_64 1.1.1
libpcre1 core2_64 8.42
lighttpd core2_64 1.4.50
lighttpd-module-access core2_64 1.4.50
lighttpd-module-accesslog core2_64 1.4.50
lighttpd-module-dirlisting core2_64 1.4.50
lighttpd-module-indexfile core2_64 1.4.50
lighttpd-module-staticfile core2_64 1.4.50
musl core2_64 1.1.20+git0+c50985d5c8
netbase core2_64 5.4
nginx application image: app-container-image-nginx.bb

SUMMARY = "A nginx container image"
LICENSE = "MIT"
LIC_FILES_CHKSUM = "file:///$(COREBASE)/meta/COPYING.MIT;md5=3da9cfbcb788c80a0384361b4de20420"

require app-container-image.bb

IMAGE_INSTALL += "nginx"

# Add /var/log/nginx and /run/nginx
ROOTFS_POSTPROCESS_COMMAND += "rootfs_add_nginx_dirs ; "

rootfs_add_nginx_dirs () {
    install -m 755 -d ${IMAGE_ROOTFS}/${localstatedir}/log/nginx
    install -m 755 -d ${IMAGE_ROOTFS}/run/nginx
}
Notes

- bash may get pulled into images because of script detection during packaging
- If the application expects to exec /bin/sh, busybox may need to be added manually as a dependency
- The lack of post-install scripts means some tweaking may be required to e.g. create volatile directories
Nested Application Sandbox Example
Motivation

- So far we’ve been building container images on their own
- Useful for “docker import” on target, or “docker compose”, etc., then fetching over the network to target
- What if we wanted to build a container image into a target image for a device?
  - Building factory images for devices running application sandboxes
- Somewhat constrained by tooling
  - Currently only systemd-nspawn seems straightforwardly doable
  - Other systems might be supported by using post-install scripts to import container images
Approaches

● Simple nesting
  ○ Based on method outlined by Jérémy Rosen in “Yoctoception: Containers in the embedded world”:
    https://www.slideshare.net/ennael/embedded-recipes-2018-yoctoception-containers-in-the-embedded-world-
    jrmr-rosen
  ○ Restricted to common MACHINE, DISTRO, TCLIBC configuration

● Multiconfig based approach
  ○ More flexibility with respect to different configuration between host and container images
  ○ https://www.yoctoproject.org/docs/latest/dev-manual/dev-manual.html#dev-building-images-for-
    multiple-targets-using-multiple-configurations
  ○ Caveat that multiconfig dependencies are a recent addition to OE
Nesting - Simple Example
lighttpd container recipe: app-container-lighttpd.bb

SUMMARY = "Package lighttpd app container image"
LICENSE = "MIT"
LIC_FILES_CHKSUM = "file://$(COREBASE)/meta/COPYING.MIT;md5=3da9cfcbb788c80a0384361b4de20420"

DEPENDS = "app-container-image-lighttpd"

FILESEXTRAPATHS_prepend = "${DEPLOY_DIR}/images/${MACHINE}:

SRC_URI = "file://app-container-image-lighttpd-$MACHINE$.ext4"
SRC_URI[md5sums] = ""

do_fetch[deptask] = "do_image_complete"
do_compile[noexec] = "1"

do_install () {
    install -d ${D}/var/lib/machines
    install ${WORKDIR}/app-container-image-lighttpd-$MACHINE$.ext4 ${D}/var/lib/machines
}

RDEPENDS_${PN} += "systemd-container"
Host system image: container-host-image.bb

SUMMARY = "A minimal container host image"
LICENSE = "MIT"
LIC_FILES_CHKSUM = "file://${COREBASE}/meta/COPYING.MIT;md5=3da9cfbcb788c80a0384361b4de20420"

inherit core-image

IMAGE_INSTALL = " \n  packagegroup-core-boot \n  app-container-lighttpd \n"

Nesting - Multiconfig Example
local.conf

BBMULTICONFIG = "host container"

multiconfig/host.conf

MACHINE = "qemux86-64"
DISTRO_FEATURES_append = " systemd"
DISTRO_FEATURES_BACKFILL_CONSIDERED = "sysvinit"
VIRTUAL-RUNTIME_init_manager = "systemd"
VIRTUAL-RUNTIME_initscripts = ""

multiconfig/container.conf

MACHINE = "containerx86-64"
DISTRO = "schooner"
TMPDIR = "${TOPDIR}/tmp-container"
lighttpd container recipe: app-container-lighttpd-multiconfig.bb

SUMMARY = "Package lighttpd app container image"
LICENSE = "MIT"
LIC_FILES_CHKSUM = "file://${COREBASE}/meta/COPYING.MIT;md5=3da9cfbc87c80a0384361b4de20420"

do_compile[noexec] = "1"

do_install[mcdepends] = "multiconfig:host:container:app-container-image-lighttpd:do_image_complete"

do_install () {
    install -d ${D}/var/lib/machines
    install ${TOPDIR}/tmp-container/${DEPLOY_DIR_IMAGE}/app-container-image-lighttpd.ext4 \ ${D}/var/lib/machines
}

RDEPENDS_${PN} += "systemd-container"
Host system image: container-host-image-multiconfig.bb

SUMMARY = "A minimal container host image"
LICENSE = "MIT"
LIC_FILES_CHKSUM = "file://${COREBASE}/meta/COPYING.MIT;md5=3da9cfbcb788c80a0384361b4de20420"

inherit core-image

IMAGE_INSTALL = " \
    packagegroup-core-boot \\
"

do_image[mcdepends] = "multiconfig:host:container:app-container-image-lighttpd:do_image_complete"

ROOTFS_POSTPROCESS_COMMAND += "rootfs_install_container ; 
"

rootfs_install_container () {
    install -d ${IMAGE_ROOTFS}/${localstatedir}/lib/machines
    install ${TOPDIR}/tmp-container/deploy/images/${MACHINE}/app-container-image-lighttpd-${MACHINE}.ext4 \\
    ${IMAGE_ROOTFS}/${localstatedir}/lib/machines
}
Notes

- I hit a couple of multiconfig issues experimenting that need some investigation
  - Had to change TMPDIR when TCLIBC differed between host and container configs
  - multiconfig dependency works when used in an image recipe per documentation, but currently seems a bit fragile, saw failures in non-image recipe
- multiconfig shows a lot of promise due to the flexibility it gives
Questions?