So you want to write a Linux driver subsystem?
Michael Turquette <mturquette@baylibre.com>
Who am I? And why am I here?

CEO of Bay Libre, Inc

- Previously at Texas Instruments, Linaro, San Francisco start-up
- Contributor to various power management-related topics upstream

Author and co-maintainer of the common clk framework

- Merged in 3.4
- Maintenance for 3 years (and counting)

Lots of mistakes, rewrites and lessons learned.

This talk is a work in progress. Thanks, guinea pigs!
I DON'T ALWAYS GIVE PRESENTATIONS

BUT WHEN I DO THEY ARE HIGHLY OPINIONATED
Agenda

1. Overview: what makes a good subsystem?
2. Design considerations
3. (Very) Brief review of CCF design
4. Maintenance
1. What makes a good Linux driver subsystem?
What is a Linux driver subsystem?

- **Frameworks** and **libraries**; common code implementing a standard protocol, interface or behavior
- **Providers** are Linux kernel drivers that plug into the framework and provide access to hardware
- **Consumers** are Linux kernel drivers or subsystems that access the framework through a common API
- A Linux driver can be both a **provider** and a **consumer**
Some common subsystems...

- genirq
- clocksource
- clockevent
- pinctrl
- regulator
- clk
- cpufreq
- cpuidle
- pm runtime
- genpd
- alsa/asoc
- v4l2
What makes a good subsystem?

- Solves for the most common cases
- Maintainable
- Concurrency / locking correctness
- Respects the Driver Model
- Architecture/platform independent
- Module safe
- Continuous testing
Linux is not special

- Use good programming practices
- Consolidate code
- Provide helpers and accessors only as needed
- Use coccinelle to find bad patterns and fix them
- Read an algorithm and data structures book
2. Design considerations
Patterns and pitfalls

1. Consumer/provider API split
2. Consumers should not know about the hardware
3. Device versus Resource
4. Follow the Linux Driver Model
5. Locking and concurrent access
6. Protecting your internal data structures
7. Synchronous and async behavior
Consumer/provider API split

- Consumers want to get devices and resources and call functions on them
  - `clk_get()`, `clk_set_rate()`, `clk_enable()`, etc

- Providers register the devices and resources
  - `clk_register()`, `clk_unregister()`, `struct clk_ops`, etc

- Split them into separate headers
  - `include/linux/clk-provider.h`, `include/linux/clk.h` (consumer)
Example: OMAP3 ISP

cam_mclk

OMAP3ISP

cam_xclka

cam_xclkb

drivers/media/platform/omap3isp/isp.c
Knowledge not required!

The **framework** is incorrectly designed if **consumer drivers** need to know details about the underlying hardware.

Write-only APIs are useful for this.
Rusty’s API Levels

1. Read the correct mailing list thread and you'll get it right.

2. Read the implementation and you'll get it right.

3. Read the documentation and you'll get it right.

4. Follow common convention and you'll get it right.

5. Do it right or it will always break at runtime.

6. The name tells you how to use it.

7. The obvious use is (probably) the correct one.

8. The compiler will warn if you get it wrong.

9. The compiler/linker won't let you get it wrong.

10. It's impossible to get wrong.

http://goo.gl/SmNqN8

http://goo.gl/yc6E4X
Example: MMC controller

osc

pll

Switch parent mux

Propagate rate change

MMC Controller

mmc_clk
Device versus Resource

CCF manages clock tree hierarchies
- Should clocks be Linux devices?
  - Hundreds of clocks…
- Does it match the data sheet?
  - Clock controller IP blocks expose hundreds of clock nodes
  - IP block roughly == Linux device

Bring Your Own Device vs Framework Provided Device
- struct regulator.dev versus struct clk
- CCF does not create a struct device

Purely a matter of taste
Reference counting

kobject
- creates sysfs object
- includes kref object for reference counting
- get this “for free” with struct device

kref
- lightweight alternative to kobject
- struct clk_core uses this to keep things sane around module unloading

Don’t forget the release() method!
void __init nomadik_clk_init(void)
{
    struct clk *clk;

    clk = clk_register_fixed_rate(NULL,
        "apb_pclk", NULL,
        CLK_IS_ROOT, 0);

    ...
}
Locking and concurrent access

- Drivers will do crazy shit.
- Protect yourself!
  - Define strict entry points into the framework
  - Wrap all data structure accesses in a sane locking scheme
- Do you need to access the framework in interrupt context?
  - Provide irq-safe entry points using spinlocks
  - Otherwise use mutexes
Example: clk_prepare & clk_enable

CCF has competing needs:
1. clk_enable/clk_disable can be called from interrupt context
2. Some enable ops may have delays/sleeps

clk_{un}prepare supplements clk_{en,dis}able

Mutex protects prepare ops, spinlock protects enable ops

Consumer drivers must always call both, in-order, and do not need to know the details of underlying hardware
Breaking i2c

crap.

xtal

Clock Controller (i2c Clock Expander or PMIC)

i2c_out_clk

foo Device
Example: Reentrant locking

```c
#define get_current() (current_thread_info()->task)
#define current get_current()

static void clk_prepare_lock(void)
{
    if (!mutex_trylock(&prepare_lock)) {
        if (prepare_owner == current) {
            prepare_refcnt++;
            return;
        }
    }
    mutex_lock(&prepare_lock);
}
```
Internal bookkeeping and private data structures should not be defined in headers

- Expose opaque handles to consumer drivers
- Think long and hard before giving provider drivers access to struct definitions and private pointers
- Reference count accesses to these resources

Drivers **will** muck with data structures and bookkeeping that they have no business touching
Example: per-user reference counting

```c
struct clk {
    struct clk_core *core;
    const char *dev_id;
    const char *con_id;
    unsigned long min_rate;
    unsigned long max_rate;
    struct hlist_node clks_node;
    unsigned int prepare_count;
    unsigned int enable_count;
};

struct clk_core {
    const char *name;
    const struct clk_ops *ops;
    struct clk_hw *hw;
    struct module *owner;
    struct clk_core *parent;
    unsigned int enable_count;
    unsigned int prepare_count;
    ...
};
```
static struct clk *foo;

void probe()
{
    foo = clk_get(dev, “foo”);
    clk_prepare_enable(foo);
    clk_put(foo);
}

void module_exit()
{
    clk_unprepare_disable(foo);
}

Beware: get/put abuse
Sync vs Async consumer API behavior

Sync
- execution blocked until operation completes
- The right choice for some low-level operations where sequence is critical
- Examples: i2c and clk consumer APIs

Async
- execution proceeds after operation is initiated
- Increases performance in many use cases
- Requires a model where waiting on a completion event makes sense
- Example: spi consumer APIs
Where does the data come from?

- Provide helper functions for the primary source of driver data
- In the embedded world this is often Device Tree

- Continuously scan provider drivers and consolidate common open-code solutions into helpers
- Design with a firmware interface in mind, but …
- … also do not design only for a single firmware interface
Misc tips & pitfalls

- Test for memory leaks caused by module load/unload/reload
- Pass pointers to structs as arguments to functions exposed by your subsystem
- Merge tests and hide them behind CONFIG_FOO_TEST
- Sort Makefiles lexicographically
- Always terminate array initialization with a comma
3. (Very) Brief review of CCF design
Background on CCF

- clk.h API is pretty old
  - Consumer side of the API
  - pre-dates CCF
  - Multiple implementations

- Single implementation desirable
  - One definition of struct clk
  - Single zImage for ARM (and other arch’s)
  - Code consolidation

- Coincided with other developments
CCF design (in a single slide)

- It is a library
  - BYO(P)D
- Re-entrant for the same context
- Mixed use of mutex and spinlock
- Per-user, opaque handles
- Per-user reference counting kia kref
- Strict consumer/provider API split
- Internal data structures hidden
- Big global locks
- No async api
- Consumer API is shared with competing implementations
4. Maintenance
So now what?

- Merging a new Linux driver subsystem is the *beginning* of the work, not the end
- Set aside 50% of your time to maintain it
Maintaining sanity

- Find a co-maintainer
- Participate in linux-next
- Setup subsystem-specific mailing list and irc channel
- Automate your life
Best advice ever

- Say “No” all the time
  - This is your primary job now!
  - You amy stop being the top contributor to the code that you wrote!
  - A weak reason to not merge a patch is enough reason
Thanks

- Linus Walleij
- Greg Kroah-Hartman
- Mark Brown
- Rafael J. Wysocki
- … many others for hallway advice