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Who am I?

- From embedded SoC provider company Renesas
- Linux Foundation CE\textsuperscript{1} working Gr. Steering committee member, LF/CEWG Architecture Gr. co-chair
- One of LF/CEWG LTSI\textsuperscript{2} project initial proposer
- At my company, I had been encouraging my team developers to send a patches upstream
- Also I have supported various CE customers who develop digital-TV, Blu-ray recorder and Smart-phone

\textsuperscript{1}CE = consumer electronics
\textsuperscript{2}LTSI = Long Term Stable kernel Initiative
Why you should choose LTS & LTSI kernel?
Linux kernel life-cycle varies according to version

If you choose LTS, you can simply apply serious bug-fix and security-fix patches maintained by the community.
the 3.4 kernel tree will be -longterm

From: Greg KH
Date: Mon Aug 20 2012 - 18:25:09 EST

- Previous message: Shirley Ma: "Re: [RFC PATCH 1/1] fair.c: Add/Export find_idlest_perfer_cpu API"
- Messages sorted by: [ date ] [ thread ] [ subject ] [ author ]

As I'm getting a few questions about this, and I realized that I never sent out an email about this, yes, the 3.4 kernel tree will be the next -longterm kernel that I will be maintaining for at least 2 years.

Currently I'm maintaining the following stable kernel trees for the following amount of time:
3.0 - for at least one more year
3.4 - for at least two years
3.5 - until 3.6.1 is out

Hope this helps clear up any rumors floating around. If anyone has any questions, please let me know.

greg k-h

https://lkml.org/lkml/2012/8/20/675
LTS (long-term stable) kernel rules

Target kernel selection rules
- Maintainer will choose one LTS version per year
- Maintain it for 2 years from its original release
- Then, we have 2 LTS kernels like 3.0 and 3.4

Patch adoption rules
- Serious security/bug fix small code
- Backport already mainlined code
- No new feature applied to keep 100% compatibility
- See kernel document ``stable_kernel_rules.txt'' for detail
We want to use latest device on LTS kernel, but...

### 3.0-LTS = long-term stable for 2011
- development start = 2011.5.18
- merge window close = 2011.5.29
- release = 2011.7.21

### 3.4-LTS = long-term stable for 2012
- development start = 2012.3.18
- merge window close = 2012.3.31
- release = 2012.5.20

There is no chance to mainline new device/platform support to LTS kernel, as its development was done.
**LTS vs LTSI: What differs? Why we wanted that?**

**LF/CEWG LTSI kernel**
- Kernel features back-port from latest mainline
- Device drivers back-port from latest mainline
- Local patch (=not yet mainlined) integration

**Community LTS kernel (is designed to be conservative)**
- Only accept bug-fix back-port
- Only accept security-fix back-port

**Upstream kernel**
- Regularly migrated community kernel
Community LTS + industry demanded extra patches.
- Governed by LF/CEWG
- Focus on kernel code\(^a\), not aiming complete BSP
- Therefore, can be combined with existing platform\(^b\)
- CPU architecture and platform neutral
- Comply with upstream rules\(^c\)
- Industry friendly acceptance (flexible patch forms, etc)
- Help CE (and others) industry to utilize Linux

\(^a\) device drivers are part of kernel, of course
\(^b\) Android, Yocto, Tizen, AGL, WebOS and others
\(^c\) e.g. signed-off-by process
Introducing the Yocto Project Development Environment

The Yocto Project through the Poky build system provides an open source development environment targeting the ARM, MIPS, PowerPC and x86 architectures for a variety of platforms including x86-64 and emulated ones. You can use components from the Yocto Project to design, develop, build, debug, simulate, and test the complete software stack using Linux, the X Window System, GNOME Mobile-based application frameworks, and Qt frameworks.
## Synergy of Yocto + LTSI integration

### How LTSI can utilize Yocto infrastructure

- **source code collection (Yocto recipe)**
  - LTSI kernel
  - LTSI off-tree patches
- build automation
- test automation
- various option for userland
  - tiny-root file system
  - full package system
- [https://git.yoctoproject.org/cgit/cgit.cgi/poky/tree/meta](https://git.yoctoproject.org/cgit/cgit.cgi/poky/tree/meta)
**LTSI and Yocto**: originally aimed different goal, but..

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LTSI</th>
<th>Yocto</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>project focus</strong></td>
<td>stable kernel</td>
<td>BSP creation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>architecture</strong></td>
<td>neutral</td>
<td>ARM, MIPS, PPC, x86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>kernel</strong></td>
<td>LTS</td>
<td>latest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>toolchain</strong></td>
<td>not combined</td>
<td>provided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>userland</strong></td>
<td>not combined</td>
<td>provided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>release cycle</strong></td>
<td>yearly</td>
<td>every 6 month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>distribution support</strong></td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>hosted by</strong></td>
<td>Linux Foundation</td>
<td>Linux Foundation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Yocto + LTSI** can generate stable BSP for embedded
Yocto and LTSI project coordination is just started

---

**Long Term Support Initiative (LTSI)**

LTSI is an industry-wide project created and supported by Hitachi, LG Electronics, NEC, Panasonic, Qualcomm Atheros, Renesas Electronics Corporation, Samsung Electronics, Sony and Toshiba and hosted at The Linux Foundation to maintain a common Linux base for use in a variety of consumer electronics products. The project creates and maintains a long-term industry tree, which is expected to be stable in quality for the typical lifetime of a consumer electronics product, typically 2-3 years.

This new initiative is crucial because device makers are doing significant back-porting, bug testing and driver development on their own, which carries substantial cost in terms of time-to-market, as well as development and engineering effort to maintain those custom kernels. Through collaboration in this initiative, these CE vendors will reduce the duplication of effort currently prevalent in the consumer electronics industry.

The LTSI tree is expected to be a usable base for the majority of embedded systems, as well as the base for ecosystem players (e.g., semiconductor vendors, set-vendors, software component vendors, distributors, and system/application framework providers). The LTSI project will combine the innovative features in newer kernels needed by CE vendors with a stable kernel, while helping those vendors get their code upstream to benefit the entire Linux community. The goal is to reduce the number of private trees currently in use in the CE industry and encourage more collaboration and sharing of development resources.
LTSI-3.4 release notes
## LTSI-3.4 development history

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>item</th>
<th>date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Upstream kernel 3.4 release</td>
<td>2012.5.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Announce of 2012 LTS kernel version</td>
<td>2012.6.6³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LTSI-3.4 merge window open</td>
<td>2012.9.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4 becomes LTS</td>
<td>2012.9.30⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(merge window open period)</td>
<td>(78 days)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LTSI-3.4-rc1 (=merge window close)</td>
<td>2012.12.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LTSI-3.4-rc2</td>
<td>2012.12.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(validation period)</td>
<td>(40 days)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LTSI-3.4 release</td>
<td>2013.1.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

³@LinuxCon Japan
⁴@upstream kernel 3.6 release
## LTSI-3.4 active contributors (by patch numbers)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>developer</th>
<th>host</th>
<th>patch</th>
<th>technical area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nicolas Ferre</td>
<td>atmel</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>AT91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simon Horman</td>
<td>renesas</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>Armaddilo, Marzen,..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Damian Hobson-Garcia</td>
<td>igel</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>dma-mapping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetsuyuki Kobayashi</td>
<td>kmc</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>KZM-GT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greg Kroah-Hartman</td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>AF_BUS, others</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marco Stornelli</td>
<td>sony</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>pramfs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aaditya Kumar</td>
<td>sony</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>axfs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul Gortmaker</td>
<td>windriver</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>CoDel</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

677 patches are added on top of community 3.4 kernel
LTSI-3.4 : What is added on top of regular 3.4 ?

- **FIX**: refreshed to be based on 3.4.24
- **BACKPORT**: pramfs now builds properly
- **BACKPORT**: CODEL support patches added
- **BACKPORT**: CMA backport from v3.7
- **BACKPORT**: VFIO backport from v3.7
- **BACKPORT**: AF_BUS patches
- **BACKPORT**: LTTng
- **NEW**: big dma-mapping patches
- **NEW**: azfs (temporary disabled due to build problems)
- **NEW**: Board support for Armadillo 800, AT91, kzm9d, kzm9g, and Marzen platforms
### The Contiguous Memory Allocator (CMA)

This is extremely useful for embedded devices that have very limited hardware resources and will better handle the large memory requirements of multimedia applications. CMA originally was merged into the 3.4.0 kernel release, but its functionality was quite limited. Since then, the feature has been significantly improved in the kernel.org releases and those fixes have been added to the LTSI 3.4 kernel release.

### AF_BUS

AF_BUS is a kernel-based implementation of the D-Bus protocol. This feature was created for systems that required a faster D-Bus speed than the existing userspace method could provide, specifically the automotive entertainment systems.

### CoDel (controlled delay)

CoDel is a transmission algorithm that optimizes TCP/IP network buffer control, is backported for LTSI 3.4. This is a feature used to help control the "buffer bloat" problem that has been identified by the networking community as an issue that all devices need to be aware of. This feature was backported from the 3.5.0 platform support

### platform support

Armadillo 800, AT91, kzm9d, kzm9g, and Marzen platforms to work properly with this release.
LTSI-3.4 release test by Renesas (pass rate = 99.2%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>board</th>
<th>item</th>
<th>test case (total=137) / pass</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Armadillo 800EVA</td>
<td>GPIO-KEY</td>
<td>3 / 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Cortex A9 single)</td>
<td>Ethernet</td>
<td>6 / 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SCIF (serial if)</td>
<td>5 / 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>touch panel</td>
<td>5 / 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LCD controller</td>
<td>2 / 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SDHI (SD card)</td>
<td>12 / 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MMCIF (MMC)</td>
<td>6 / 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FSI (sound)</td>
<td>4 / 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CEU (camera)</td>
<td>2 / 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>USB function</td>
<td>11 / 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KZM-A9-GT</td>
<td>GPIO-KEY</td>
<td>3 / 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Cortex A9 dual)</td>
<td>Ethernet (SMSC LAN911xx)</td>
<td>5 / 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SCIF (serial if)</td>
<td>5 / 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>touch panel</td>
<td>5 / 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LCD controller</td>
<td>2 / 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SDHI (SD card)</td>
<td>12 / 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FSI (sound)</td>
<td>4 / 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>USB host</td>
<td>25 / 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>USB function</td>
<td>11 / 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marzen</td>
<td>Ethernet (SMSC LAN911xx)</td>
<td>5 / 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Cortex A9 quad)</td>
<td>SCIF (serial if)</td>
<td>4 / 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We observed only one degradation from upstream 3.4
Other failures are reproduced also on latest kernel 3.7, not a LTSI problem.
We need to check the hidden patch dependency

To secure complete compatibility to the community 3.4-LTS

- When we backport new code from latest kernel like 3.8 to LTSI-3.4, we will backport all dependent patches.
- Then we check if backported code can be compiled properly.
- However, there might be hidden dependency in newer kernel that fixes hidden issue
- We found such issue with heavy load test application (CMA + DRM + KMS + DirectFB those use "Scatter Gather")
- We did bisection to find root cause of hidden dependency and applied missing patch to the LTSI-3.4

We need to establish LTS/LTSI compatibility validation method
LTSI project recruited volunteers who will try to integrate LTSI-3.4 kernel with various existing Linux distribution. We have selected 6 developers and send them "CuBox Pro" boards. Their trial result will be presented at up coming LinuxCon Japan, May 2013.

Expected porting target

- Android, CyanogenMOD, Firefox OS, Gentoo, OpenWRT
- XBMC, Debian, Ubuntu, Fedora, OpenSUSE for ARM, etc

- 800 MHz dual issue ARM PJ4 processor, VFPv3, wmmx SIMD and 512KB L2 cache, ARM v7 instruction
- 2GByte DDR3 at 800MHz (pro version)
- 1080p video (decode, HDMI), OpenGL/ES2.0
- Gigabit Ethernet, SPDIF, eSata 3Gbps, 2xUSB 2.0, micro-SD, micro-USB

5 http://www.solid-run.com/cubox
How can you improve productivity with LTSI?
kernel selection procedure (distro, LTS and LTSI)

1. kernel selection
   - distribution?
     - yes
     - choose LTS
       - satisfy?
         - yes
         - use LTS
         - no
         - check LTSI
           - satisfy?
             - yes
             - use LTSI
             - no
             - use distro
     - no
   - no

2. yes (distro, LTS and LTSI)
Where can you find the LTSI-3.4 kernel?

http://ltsi.linuxfoundation.org/downloads/releases
LTSI kernel cooking

1. You want to enhance LTSI?
   Yes → in newer kernel?
   No → off-tree patch?

2. In newer kernel?
   Yes → backport from upstream
   No → write your own code

3. Off-tree patch?
   Yes → collect off-tree patches
   No → write yocto recipe for automatic merge

4. Write yocto recipe for automatic merge → get custom LTSI
Still you can send extra patches to LTSI ML to add your new platform/device support to released LTSI-3.4.
Why should you choose LTS & LTSI kernel? LTSI-3.4 release notes
How can you improve productivity with LTSI?
Conclusion and future action

Renesas sent extra 390 patches to add R-CarH2

R-CarH2 released 2013-04, adopt LTSI-3.4 as base kernel
You may want to add new platform support to released LTSI.

Then you sent patch to LTSI-ML, but it might not be merged.

Patchwork can be the way to collect such off-tree patches.

https://patchwork.kernel.org/project/ltsi-dev/list/
You can cherry pick patch from **LTSI-patchwork** site

- Patchwork automatically collect message that contains source code (patch)
- Each patch has unique tag and you can identify patch by tag
- You can write yocto recipe to collect patches in patchwork
Yocto meta file contains .bb (recipe) file

munakata@mythen:~/Download/meta-renesas-20130204$ tree recipes-kernel/
recipes-kernel/
  └── linux
      ├── files
      │   └── linux-yocto
      │       └── armadillo800eva
      │       │   └── armadillo800eva-non_hardware.cfg
      │       │   └── armadillo800eva-preempt-rt.scc
      │       │   └── armadillo800eva-standard.scc
      │       │   └── armadillo800eva.cfg
      │       │   └── armadillo800eva.scc
      │       │   └── defconfig
      │       │   └── missing_required.cfg
      │       │   └── required_redefinition.txt
      │       │   └── specified_non_hdw.cfg
      │       │   └── user-config.cfg
      │       │   └── user-patches.scc
      │       └── linux-yocto_3.4.bbappend
      └── linux-libc-headers
          └── linux-libc-headers-rmobile_git.bb

.bbappend can contain a pointer to LTSI off-tree patch
Edit recipe to merge LTSI-patchwork off-tree patch

diff --git a/recipes-kernel/linux/linux-yocto_3.4.bbappend b/recipes-kernel/linux/linux-yocto_3.4.bbappend
index 819c65a..0b89004 100644
--- a/recipes-kernel/linux/linux-yocto_3.4.bbappend
+++ b/recipes-kernel/linux/linux-yocto_3.4.bbappend
@@ -19,7 +19,10 @@ SRC_URI_append_armadillo800eva = ``
   file://missing_required.cfg 
   file://required_redefinition.txt 
   file://specified_non_hdw.cfg 
+https://patchwork.kernel.org/patch/1132821/mbox/;  
   name=patch1; 
   downloadfilename=patch-1132821.patch; 
   apply=yes; 
   striplevel=1 
   ''
+SRC_URI[patch1.md5sum] = ``c5e868f90629a56964c2c6ee731ba1cf''
+SRC_URI[patch1.sha256sum] = ``ea5f81ba7b91c0a1086f7c58f92a9818bae46615c5826aacba842c2aac5222"

COMPATIBLE_MACHINE_armadillo800eva='''armadillo800eva'''
KBRANCH_DEFAULT_armadillo800eva = '''armadillo800eva'''

download off-tree patch from patchwork site and apply
Description of patchwork integration recipe

- Define patchwork URI

- You need to define target patch name and assign new name for it, as default download file name is shown as index.html

- You need to calculate SUM after file download (md5 and sha256)

```
+https://patchwork.kernel.org/patch/1132821/mbox/;

name=patch1;
downloadfilename=
  patch-1132821.patch;

apply=yes;
striplevel=1 \

+SRC_URI[patch1.md5sum] =
+SRC_URI[patch1.sha256sum] =
```
Why should you choose LTS & LTSI kernel?
LTSI-3.4 release notes
How can you improve productivity with LTSI?
Conclusion and future action

Adoption of LTSI
LTSI cooking
Code contribution

Merge your code into LTSI via upstream (ideal case)

- write your code
- submit to the current upstream
- backport to next LTSI
- part of next LTSI
- backport to current LTSI staging-tree
- write yocto recipe to integrate off-tree patch

Try upstream first, then backport to LTSI kernel
Upstreaming attempt through LTSI project

1. Write your code
2. Submit and review at LTSI project
3. Forward port to current developing upstream kernel
4. Part of LTSI release
5. Upstream kernel

LTSI project can help shaping your code for upstream
Conclusion and future action
If you have a chance to select Linux kernel version, you should choose LTS/LTSI kernel. Because it can reduce your own work to apply security and serious bug-fix patch for maintenance.

LTSI-3.4 is released now, and it includes various attractive 1) newly mainlined feature from up to 3.7 release, 2) newly developed function for embedded use of Linux, 3) new platform/device support on stable kernel. You can download LTSI-3.4 kernel from Linux Foundation project web.

If you want to modify LTSI kernel to fit your product demand, you can cook LTSI kernel by yourself and utilize Yocto recipe to integrate your own enhancement with regular LTSI release. You can find off-tree LTSI patch from patchwork web and Yocto recipe can grab them automatically via http connection.
Call for action for LTSI-3.4 (now) & LTSI-next

For SoC vendor, CPU core provider
- Send your not-yet-mainlined (AKA vendor tree) code to LTSI
- Test LTSI kernel on your environment and feedback test result

For product producer
- Adopt LTSI kernel with Yocto to reduce your development cost
- Eliminate in-house patch, if any. LTSI patchwork may help.

For software distributor, integrator
- Adopt and support LTSI + Yocto as your BSP foundation.
- Send us your feedback to improve LTSI and future upstream
Greg stated "3.8 is not a LTS(I) candidate version"

Linux 3.8 is NOT a longterm kernel

By Greg KH - February 27, 2013 - 4:15pm

I said this last week on Google+ when I was at a conference, and needed to get it out there quickly, but as I keep getting emails and other queries about this, I might as make it "official" here. For no other reason that it provides a single place for me to point people at.

Anyway, I would like to announce that the 3.8 Linux kernel series is NOT going to be a longterm stable kernel release. I will NOT be maintaining it for long time, and in fact, will stop maintaining it right after the 3.9 kernel is released.

The 3.0 and 3.4 kernel releases are both longterm, and both are going to be maintained by me for at least 2 years. If I were to pick 3.8 right now, that would mean I would be maintaining 3 longterm kernels, plus whatever "normal" stable kernels are happening at that time. That is something that I can not do without loosing even more hair than I currently have. To do so would be insane to attempt.

Hopefully this puts to rest all of the rumors.

Need rule for after release patch adoption criteria

Always acceptable patch
- Bug-fix patch for LTSI extended code

Case by case adoption patch
- Add new platform support (self contain stuff only)

Following patches may not be accepted
- New feature backport form new version kernel
- Your own enhancement or local fix
- Out of upstreaming target code